

Outputs and Outcomes Delivery Monitor

Outputs (tangible) and Outcomes (intangible):	Completed?	Published? (Source)	Quality assessment/Recommendations	
1. (DEV) WP: Sustainable CapacityBuilding at QA offices 1.1.Strengthening and equipping QA offices 1.2. trainings developed QA staff trained, training capacity developed through “train the trainer” components 1.3. Establish QA - peer networks established 1.4. Study trip to Roskilde	1 (DEV):			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.1 staff at Moldovan HEI nominated on time; QA offices supplied with technical equipment and software	yes	http://gesi.sozp.hil.uni-	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.2 training materials on QA for QA officers and academic staff of Moldovan HEI developed and conducted; three rounds of trainings carried out and evaluation conducted	yes	Google Drive	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.3 QA – peer networks established	yes	in second edition of newsletter	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.4 two members of each RoM university partner participated in study trip to see the QA process of the universities of Roskilde (DK)	yes		
2. (DEV) WP: Empowerment of students in QA processes 2.1. student representatives trained in basics of QA 2.2. quality review trainings conducted for students 2.3. Web database of the trained students established	2 (DEV):		ESU needs to create the data base of trained students	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.1 training and its evaluation carried out	yes		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.2 simulation of quality review at 2 Moldovan consortium HEIs conducted	canceled		canceled
	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.3 web database of the trained students exists	no		ESU needs to deliver
3. (DEV) WP: Internal QA processes (self evaluation) 3.1.Training on EU-experiences in self and external evaluation conducted 3.2. Joint guidelines for self- and external evaluation developed 3.3. Institutional self evaluation completed	3 (DEV):			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.1 academic population received training on internal and external QA practices	yes	no	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.2 guidelines for self- and external evaluation are developed	yes	Google Drive	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.3 institutional self-evaluation at all involved RoM HEIs is implemented/executed CANCELED	canceled	canceled	
4. (DEV) WP: Accreditation of selected study programs 4.1. Workshop on accreditation conducted 4.2. Selection criteria for admission to international accreditation developed. 4.3 programmes underwent international study programme accreditation	4 (DEV):			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.1 workshop on accreditation prepared, conducted, evaluated	yes		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.2 selection criteria for admittance to international accreditation developed; an expert panel rated the application proposals against the criteria and selected the highest-scoring programmes to undergo international programme accreditation	yes	Google Drive	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 4.3 three programmes at each Moldovan HEIs underwent accreditation procedure (AQAS)	no	in process	
5. (DEV) WP: From Quality Assurance to Strategy Development 5.1. Strategy Development Teams established 5.2. Seminar on EU Experience in Development of HEI Strategies conducted 5.3. University strategies developed and adopted 5.4.Conference on “From Quality Assurance to Strategy Development” conducted	5 (DEV):		an updated project plan for this work package needs to be created	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1 HEI strategy development teams are established	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.2 seminar on EU experience in development of HEI strategies were conducted	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3 university strategy papers are developed	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4 the conference took place	no		
6. (QP) WP: Monitoring and Quality 6.1. Quality Policy Document drafted and adopted 6.2. Quality Work Plan drafted and adopted 6.3. Individual Quality Monitoring Tools developed 6.4. Assessment of QUAEM progress on three levels executed 6.5. Delivery monitor developed and updated	6 (QP):		an updated project plan needs to be created (by UL) in order to update the quality work plan	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.1 quality Policy Document exists and is approved	yes		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.2 quality Work Plan exists and is approved	yes		needs to be updated
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.3 quality Monitoring Tools described and applied	yes		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.4 assessment report of QUAEM progress available	yes		this document
	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.5 delivery monitor described and running	yes		this document
7. (DISS) WP: Dissemination 7.1. Project website set up 7.2. Project website regularly updated 7.3. Regular newsletter administration published 7.4. Final Conference “The Future of QA in Moldova” conducted	7 (DISS):			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 website online	yes	http://gesi.sozp	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 7.2 website is regularly updated	yes	http://gesi.sozp	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 7.3 newsletter published in time	yes	http://gesi.sozp	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 7.4 final conference conducted and evaluated.	no		
8. (EXP) WP: Sustainability 8.1. Official Statement on the Role of students in QA written and disseminated 8.2. HEI commitment to regularly conduct self evaluation signed 8.3. Documentation for the RoM Agency for Accreditation provided 8.4. Testimony letters on sustainable QA in RoM HEI signed	8 (EXP)		A sustainability strategy should be developed, which outlines how the results of each Work Package will remain useful and effective after the project has ended	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8.1 official statement on the role of students prepared & published	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8.2 commitments are signed and publically announced	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8.3 documentation of accreditation are turned in	no		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 8.4 testimony letters are signed	no		
9. (MNGT) WP: Project management 9.1. Proper project management & coordination 9.2. Consortium meetings	9 (MNGT)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.1 deliverables on time; deliverable quality within benchmarks	yes		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 9.2 consortium’s project management meetings are organised	yes		